App. F. IX-4

Preserve
10/7/1933.
(SA)

File No. 118-33

Previous References
Sarjapur File No. 10.

Serial Nos. 1-7

Letter References.
SA 768-36(4)86)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Seven

Part B for Jan 36

SUBJECT.

Prayer of Pandit Hafiz Mahamad Khan Sab for the Continuance in his name of the Sarjapur Jahgir pension of Rs 3-2-5

8(7)

No fe 5677—A dt 17.1.36

To the Collector

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

H. 1924

Revenue Secretariat | File No. 118 of 1933. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

1. 1 Petition dated 9/12/33 from Pandit Hafiz Mahmad Khan Sab, praying for the continuance in his name of the Sarjapur Jahgir pension of Rs 3-2-5 hitherto being drawn by his father the late Sulaiman Khan Saheb.

2 Draft forwarding the petition to the D.C. Mysore for enquiry and report is submitted for approval.

BRSW 23/12

3) Issue 26/12/33.

SECTION DEC 193 SECT

2. H. 3534/Encl 1. 8/4·1·34

4) To The D.C. Mysore.

Forwards the above petition for enquiry and report

Sus MW 8/1

3. From the D.C. Mysore.

5) No. J. 2359/33 dated the 18th January 1934

Forwards his report and recommends that the pension may be continued in the name of Hafiz Mahmad Khan.

6) Sulaiman Khan, the father of the petitioner is reported to have died (X) on 26/7/32. He is said to have married 4 wives, of whom the 4th wife Amir Bi, alone is living at present. His sons and daughters are from his 1st wife - Hafiz Mahomad Khan (the petitioner) a daughter Amir Bi (married and living with her husband)

2nd wife - died issueless.

3rd wife (dead) - Amir Khan (X) aged 18 years.

4th wife (living) - one minor son and 2 minor daughters

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

Amir Khan, the son by the 3rd wife, has given a statement Consenting to the continuance of the pension in the name of his step-brother, Hafiz Mahomad Khan. The Children of the 4th wife are all minors. All the Children are reported to be under the Care of the petitioners. Ex. X.

Amina Bi, the 4th wife, has given a statement on 28/9/33 before the Revenue Inspector wherein she prays that the pension may be continued to her, as she is a helpless widow and has besides to protect her minor Children. Ex. Y.

The claimants to the above pension are Hafiz Mahomad Khan and Amina Bi, 4th wife of the deceased pensioner.

The petitioner mentions in his statement (Z) made before the Amildar, Nanjangud Taluq, on 5/1/35, that his father had 3 brothers, that the pension was being drawn by his father as he was the eldest son and that it was not divided into shares among his brothers. The claims of the brothers of the deceased pensioner will have to be Considered if they are alive. It has to be ascertained if the uncles of the present petitioner are living and if so, how many. Submitted with a draft Calling for this information from the D.C. Mysore. Ex. Z.

BB 6/2/35

Please note, if according to the rules pertaining to these pensions, they are always registered in the name of the eldest male member of the family; whether in other ott cases the bro-

Serial No.

thers of such member are not eligible for shares, whether pensions do not stand in the name of females or whether they do not come in at least for a share. Have the uncles of the present claimant ever preferred a claim for a part of the pension?

A

7.2.35

10) There are no definite rules governing the grant of these pensions. The pension is generally registered in the name of the eldest male member of the family, who will draw the pension and disburse the shares of the several shareholders. In the absence of male heirs to a deceased pensioner, the pension is continued in the name of his widow. Generally, when sanctioning pensions to ladies, the pension will be tenable only during the life time of the pensioner, the pension lapsing on the death of the female pensioner, if she has no heirs. Instances are not wanting in which the pension of a deceased lady pensioner is continued to her children on a consideration of the financial circumstances of the claimants, but while so continuing, it is sometimes on a reduced scale.

It is seen subsequently that the Sarjapur pensions are hereditary grants.

11) As regards the Assistant Secretary's query at A supra, there is nothing in the records forwarded by the D.C. Mysore, to show that they have claimed for a share of the

Note–Contn. Sheet.

3470 ✓
H

S.A. File No. 118 of 1933 | General and Revenue Secretariat

pension. The D.C Mysore may perhaps be requested to furnish this information. Submitted and slightly modified, for orders if the draft already put up may issue.

BL-SW 8/3

Seen
9.3.35

H. 7441 To The D.C Mysore. 4

Enquires how many brothers the late pensioner Sulaiman Khan had and if they have ever preferred a claim for a share in the pension etc.

Sus
W
26/3

Letter No. J. 3595/34. dated 31/5/35 from the D.C. Mysore, stating that the petitioner's father had 2 younger brothers, that both of them died while his father was alive and that none of them claimed the pension. 5

Kind reference is invited the printed list of pensioners (placed below) from which it will be seen that two Names are almost identical (Viz Sillamon Khan and Sillamon Saheb) as also the amount of pension and the authority sanctioning the pension; the P.P.O. Numbers are however different. Against Sl. 12, in the remarks column, the pension is stated to be "Not Current". The petitioner quotes the p.p.o. No. as 62 and has produced the pensioner's half also bearing the same No. Serial Nos. 12

With regard to the point raised ~~by the~~

the D.C. Mysore has stated at A in para 9 supra, that Hafiz Mahomad Khan father the late Sulaiman Khan had two younger brothers, that both of them died when Sulaiman Khan was alive and that none of them ever claimed the pension.

17) The claimants to the pension are:

1. Hafiz Mahomad Khan (son by the 1st wife of the deceased)
2. Amir Khan (aged 18 years — son — 3rd wife)
3. Amina Bi (4th wife) with a minor son and 2 minor daughters, who requests that the pension be continued in her name.

Sl. 2. Amir Khan is agreeable to the continuance of the pension in the name of his step brother viz Sl 1.

18) As regards the distribution of the vacant pension of Rs 3-2-5 according to Mahomadan Law, the Law Secty may be consulted. Submitted for Orders.

BRS UW 10/7/35

19) The pension may be registered in the name of Hafiz Mahomed Khan, on condition that he pays 1/3 of it to his brother Amir Khan and another 1/3 to his Step-mother Amina Bi, while the remaining 1/3 is for himself. This appears to be the most equitable arrangement.

20) If this is approved, copies of the order, have to be sent to Amir Khan and Amina Bi also, so that they may know that they are to get 1/3 of the pension each.

H. Secy) 15/7/35

21) What is the objection to the separation of the pension into the respective shares? Is not the pension to be registered in the name of a lady, on whose disposal her to be considered as when it becomes vacant in view of its lapsable nature if there are no heirs?

(S.) 15/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

SRA File No. 118 of 1933. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Generally on the death of a pensioner, (Sarjapur) the vacant pension is registered in the name of the eldest male member of the family, the distribution of the shares among the shareholders being left to be settled by themselves. Separate registry of the shares in the respective shareholders' names is generally discouraged. But instances are not wanting to show that separate registry is allowed when Complaints are received of payments being made irregularly to the shareholders by the head barawardar.

In the present case, there appears to be no objection to the separation of the pension into 3 shares. On the other hand, it is desirable that it should be split up because :-

(1) Hafiz Mahomad Khan (the eldest son) is living in Mysore, whereas Amina Bi (the 4th wife of the deceased with her minor Children is reported to be living in Nanjangud.

(2) Amir Khan, who is only 18 years old, is reported to have given his Consent to the registry of the pension in the name of the petitioner - perhaps under the impression that there will be perfect harmony between himself and his step-brother - which may or may not be true.

With regard to the second point raised in the Financial Secretary's note, the answer is in the affirmative.

BRG W 12/8. B

Kind reference is invited to para-

10 above, in which the queries raised in Para 9 are answered. From this it is evident that Separate registration is effected, when there is a request for it. The occasion for such a request would arise only when the person in whose name the entire pension is registered fails to pay the Stipulated Shares to those entitled to them. Government generally only grants the request of a petitioner. It does not grant more than what is asked for and quite often grants less. In the present instance neither the brother nor the Step mother has asked for Separate Registration.

26) The action proposed in para 1 of my note dated 15-7-35, is therefore fully complete as regards the present circumstances.

27) The action proposed in para 2 of the note, makes the brother and the Step-mother aware of their rights to a Share in the pension so that they may apply for Separate registration of their Shares in their own names, if they are not paid fully and regularly.

28) Ranjangud is not very far away from Mysore and Amina Bi, has not asked, as yet, for Separate Registration. Amir Khan, so far from Wanting Separate Registry of his Share, does not want the Share at all (Vide Flag X). We have to provide for the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

circumstances as they now exist and cannot forecast what they will be in future and order remedial measures for them, before they have happened.

29) I think therefore that action as in my note dated 15.7.1935, fully meets the present circumstances of the case and may therefore be taken.

31.8.35.

The file referring to the point marked A in the printed list may, if available, be put up.

31.8.35

The file referred to above is not readily available. A miscellaneous file relating to Sarjapur pensions which contains some information on the point raised above is submitted herewith.

1/9/35

Amina Bi as the widow and the 3 minor children of the deceased are each entitled to a share as each has an equal claim with the other sons. In this view, the pension has to be split up into 6 divisions as follows:-

1. Hafiz Mahomad Khan.
2. Amir Khan
3. Amina Bi. — 4th wife of the deceased.
4. Minor son
5. 1st minor daughter } minor children
6. 2nd " daughter } of the 4th wife.

24/9/35.

Reminder
Petition dated 6/
from the petitioner
the same subject

what is the au
thority for the
Statement. Any
precedents?

30/11/35

Serial No.

34. The case is as follows:—

Sulaiman Khan, in receipt of a Barja-pur pension of Rs 3-2-5, died on 26.7.1932. He left behind him the following heirs.

(1) From the first wife now dead, a Son, Hafiz Mahomed Khan and a daughter, Amir Bi, married and now living with her husband.

(2) ~~xxxx xxxxxxx~~ The second wife died issueless.

(3) The third wife, died, leaving behind her, a Son, Amir Khan. It is elsewhere stated that this man also was a Son by the first wife. There is confusion on the point. Anyhow he is an heir; and later, he is said to be the Son of the 3rd wife, according to the D.C.'s Report.

(4) The 4th wife, Amina Bi is living; She has a minor Son and two minor daughters.

35. The question is as to how the pension is to be distributed, among Hafiz Maho-med Khan, Amir Khan and Amina Bi and her children. It has been ascertained that the issue of the brothers of the deceased Sulaiman Khan make no claim on the pension. Hafiz Mahomed Khan, ~~xxx~~ wants the pension to be registered in his name, on the ground that all the others are under his protection and that he is the eldest male mem-ber of the family. Amir Khan does not want any share of the pension at all and has no objection to the

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

D 0594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

pension being registered in the name of Hafiz Mahomed Khan. Amina Bi wants the whole pension to be given to her.

Amir Khan is now about 20 years old and living with his brother cannot be but under his influence. His renunciation of his share cannot perhaps be taken seriously. He has no such independent sources of income as to make him view his share, as beneath his notice. If his elder brother, who is better off wants his share, Amir Khan should also want his and he is entitled to it. As regards Amina Bi's request for the whole pension, she also can have only a share and not the whole.

It is suggested that the pension be divided into as many shares as there are claimants, counting each of Amina Bi's children as a claimant for this purpose. Such a procedure has not been adopted as regards these pensions before this. For these pensions there are no rules. Govt have full discretion as regards their grant and even the personal law of the claimants does not apply strictly. Vide Para 43 of S & A file no 20-20 Sls no 4, 5, 9, 11 and 16. Amina Bi and her children therefore should come in for only one of three shares.

Kind reference is invited to Para 21 above regarding the separate registration of the shares.

Continuance of the Sarjapur Jahgir pension in the name of Hafiz Mahomad Khan.

General and Revenue Secretariat — S&A File No. 118 of 1933. — 32906 — 2494 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
24 DEC. 1935
No. 41.8.8

In Para 43 of the S & A file 20-20, quoted above govt have held that the Registry Should be in the name of the nearest male heir available.

39 Kind reference is invited to paras 19 and 20 above, wherein it has been Suggested that the pension may be registered in the name of Hafiz Mahomed Khan, on condition that he pays 1/3 of it to Amina Bi, 1/3 of it to his brother Amir Khan, while the last third is for himself. Copies of this order Should be Sent to Amir Khan and Amina Bi, So that they may know that they are to get 1/3 each of the pension and that if they are not paid, they might then move for Separate registry, Should Hafiz Mahomed Khan continue obdurate.

40. Action may therefore be taken, if approved, as Suggested in paras 19 and 20, above.

Dewan, (H Seey) 20/12/35.
24/12/35.

KNJ
24-12-35.

26/12/35.

Submitted with a draft G.O and an endorsement.

For approval of the drafts.

(H Seey) 4/1/36.

Issue 6/1/36.

6-1-36.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

Proof 4/1/36

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

File No. 113 of 1933. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Fl. 5677 S/ dated 17/1/36. To the Comptroller & the D.C. Mysore. 6.

Sanctions the Continuance with effect from 2nd July 1932 of the Sarjapur pension of Rs 3-2-5 in the name of Hafiz Mahomad Khan, the eldest son of the deceased, subject to certain Conditions.

Fl. 5679-81 / dated 17.1.36.

To Hafiz Mahomad Khan, Amir Khan and Amina Bi.

Informing them of the orders issued in the matter.

B.
W
21/1

S.HA. 118-33-1

FINANCE
12
No.

1924

6

తేదీ 7-12-33

రాజ్యాధికారి

కం. రిజర్వ్ ఫో. సైపోలీసులు రిజర్వ్

సాబు

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section SRA.

File No. 118-33

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. Je 35-34 (1)

To The D.C. Mysore

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue..... 4/1/34

I am directed to forward the accompanying petition for enquiry and report, dated 9/12/33 (~~in Original~~ .— to be kindly returned with ~~your~~ the reply) from Pandit Hafiz Mahomad Khan Sa praying for the Continuance in h name of the Sarjapur Jahgir Fami pension of Rs 3-2-5 which was hitherto being drawn by his father the late Suleman Khan.

Signed by..... M' Rva

The favour of an early reply is requested.

I have etc.

Sd 26/12/33.

for Financial Secretary

List of enclosures.....

Copied by..... 3/1/34

Examined by..... BRSW 23/12

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 3rd January 1934

No. F 3534 (1)

Fin. 118.33-2

From

The Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for enquiry and report the accompanying petition dated 9th December 1933 (to be kindly returned with the reply) from Pandit Hafiz Mahomed Khan Sab, praying for the continuance in his name of the Sarjapur Jahgir Family pension of Rs.3-2-5 which was hitherto being drawn by his father the late Suleman Khan.

The favour of an early reply is requested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Draft K Venkata Rao

For Financial Secretary to Government.

mk.2

As the pension is said to be continued so far in the name of the eldest male branch of the family and since the petitioner is reported to be the eldest son of the deceased I am of opinion that the pension may be continued in the petitioner (Hafiz Mahomed Khan).

The entire records accompany.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient s ervant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

A.N.

J+A. 118-33-3.

No. F.2359/33.
Encl. One file.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Mysore, 17th Jany. *1935.*

T8.

FROM

C. S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
3290 Mysore District, Mysore.



To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

Re the prayer of Pandit Hafiz Mahomed Khan Sab for the continuance in his name of Sarjapur pension which was being drawn by his deceased father.

=====

With reference to your letter No.Fl.3534/S&A 118-33-2 dated 3/4th January 1934 forwarding for enquiry and report the petition of Pandit Hafiz Mahomed Khan re the above, I have the honor to state as follows:-

From the record of enquiry received from the Amildar, Mysore Taluk, it is seen that the deceased pensioner has left a son by his 1st wife, another son aged about 18 years by his 3rd wife and another minor son and two daughters by his 4th wife, who is alone living at present, the second wife having died issueless.

The 1st son Hafiz Mahamed Khan Sab (Petitioner) is the eldest son of the deceased pensioner and is stated to be maintaining all the other children of his father. The 4th wife of the deceased is living separately in her husband's house in the village of Mullur in Nanjangud Taluk and the petitioner has stated that she is getting the produce of the lands for her maintenance, her children having been left under the care of the petitioner. The second son of the deceased Ameer Khan has given his statement stating that the pension of his father may be continued in his elder brother's name while the 4th wffe of the deceased requests that the pension may be sanctioned in her name as she is put to hardship.

[Appendix F. G.–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. S+A

File No. 118-33

Serial No. 4.

No. H. 7441/1 file of Records

To

The D.C. Sirpore

Sir,

With reference to Correspond
ending with your letter No. 7-2359/33
dated the 18th January 1935 ~~forwar~~
your ~~report~~ reporting on the petition of Hafiz Mah
mad Khan, for the Continuance in his
name of the Sarjapur Jahgir Pensio
I am directed to state that Hafiz
Mahomad Khan has mentioned in hi
statement made on 5/1/35 before the
Amildar, Nanjanqud Taluk, that
his father had 3 brothers etc., and
to request you to be so good as to repo

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 18/3/35

Signed by Mr M. Shamanna

List of enclosures.....

Copied by MN 11/3

Examined by.....

how many brothers the late pensioner Sulaiman Khan had, ~~and~~ how many of them are now living and if the uncles of the petitioner (present claimant) ever preferred a claim of this pension.

The records are enclosed herewith for reference and return.

I have etc.

9.3.35

For Financial Secretary to Govt.

It may also be stated whether Pandit Hafiz Mahomad Khan (the petitioner) and Amir Khan are the sons of the first wife of the deceased pensioner Shibai Mon Khan or the sons of the 1st wife and the 2nd wife respectively. In Enclosure No. 19 to your letter, they are said to be the sons of the 1st wife whereas in Enclosures No 17 and 18 Amir Khan is stated to be the son of the third wife. The above discrepancy may be rectified.

BBS NW
7/6/2/35.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. H. 7441/ S&A 118-33. 1 File & Records Dated, Bangalore, 11th March 1935.

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.F-2359/33 dated the 18th January 1935 reporting on the petition of Hafiz Mahomad Khan, for the continuance in his name of the Sarjapur Jahgir Pension, I am directed to state that Hafiz Mahomad Khan has mentioned in his statement made on 5th January 1935 - before the Amildar, Nanjangud Taluk, that his father had 3 - brothers etc., and to request you to be so good as to report how many brothers, the late pensioner Sulaiman Khan had, ~~and~~ how many of them are now living, and if the uncles of the present claimant ever preferred a claim for his pension. I may also be stated whether Pandit Hafiz Mahomad Khan (the petitioner) and Amir Khan are the sons of the first wife of the deceased pensioner Sulaiman Khan or the sons of the 1st wife and the 2nd wife respectively. In enclosure No.19 to your letter, they are said to be the sons of the 1st wife whereas in enclosures No.17 and 18 Amir Khan is stated to the son of the third wife. The above discrepancy may be rectified.

The records are enclosed herewith for reference and return.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Shamanna

For Financial Secretary to Government.

BRS

S+A. 118—33—5

No. F. 3595/34.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSION
MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Mysore, 31st May 1935.

From

T. Kesavaiengar, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
- 4 JUNE 1935
To 5322
CENTRAL SECTT.

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.7441/S & A. dated 18th March 1935 calling for certain information regardiig the continuance of the Sarjapur Jahgir Pension in the name of Hafiz Mahomad Khan, I have the honour to forward herewith the entire records received with Mysore Taluk Amildar's Report No.189/33 dated 22nd May 1935. It will be seen from the statement of Hafiz Mohamad Khan given before the Amildar of Mysore Taluk on 21st May 1935, that his father (the late pensioner) had two younger - brothers, that both of them died while his father was alive and that none of them claimed this pension. He has further stated that he is the only son by the first wife and that Amir Khan is the son by the third wife.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

NRS

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಸಾಲಾರ್ ಜಂಗ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ನಿವೇದನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 21/5/35

ಅಧೀನದವನಾದ ನಾನು ಈ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ -

ನಾನು ಹೈದರಾಬಾದಿನ ಸಲ್ಲಿಕೆ ಪತ್ರವೊಂದನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆನು. ಅದು ಈಗ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಮತ್ತೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ 18/3/35 ರಂದು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆನು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವು

Before me
21.5.34

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

2 drafts

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

1

Diary. No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue.....17/1/.....193 .

Signed by .....M. Ms

Section S+A

File No. 118-33

Serial No. 6.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— Government Proceedings No. 52-12528/ dated the 25 January 1902 sanctioning a Sarjapur Jahgir pension of Rs. 3-2-5 to Sillamon Sahib.

2. Petition dated 9th December 1933 from Pandit Hafiz Mahomad ~~Order thereon.—No.~~ Khan Sab, praying for the Continuance in his name of the above pension of his father.

3. Letter No. 7. 2359/33 dated the 18th January 1935 from the D.C. Mysore, reporting on the above petition.

Order No.

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

~~The~~ Order No. 4. 5677 - 8 Encls

The D.C. Mysore reports that Sulaiman Khan, the last Garawarder, who was in receipt of a Surjapur Jadgir pension of Rs. 3-2-5 died on 26.7.32, leaving behind him a son Hafiz Mahomad Khan (the petitioner) and a married daughter Amir Bi, — ~~dead~~ as heirs by his first wife. His second wife is reported to have died issueless. Amir Khan aged 18 years is the only son by his 3rd wife. His 4th wife Amina Bi is reported to be living in Mullur, a village in Nanjangud Taluk, with her minor son and 2 minor daughters.

Hafiz K.

The petitioner prays that the pension be registered in his name whereas Amina Bi, the 4th wife of the deceased pensioner claims that the entire pension be continued to her;

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD (3602-GPB—22,500—12-7-35

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Amir Khan, Third wife's son is stated to be agreeable to his father's pension being continued in his elder brother's (Hafiz Mahomad Khan) name.

The D. C. Mysore recommends that the ~~extra~~ pension be Continued in the name of Hafiz Mahomad Khan, as he is the eldest son of the deceased. Govt. are accordingly pleased to direct that the vacant pension viz. 3-2-3 be registered and Continued with effect from 27.7.35 in the name of Hafiz Mahomad Khan on Condition that he pays 1/3 of the pension to Amina Bi (4th wife of the deceased pensioner), 1/3 of it to his step brother Amir Khan (son by the 3rd wife) while the remaining 1/3 will be for himself.

M 6.1-36

Financial Secretary to Govt.

To The Comptroller to Govt.

" D. C. Mysore, with the entire file of records.

RRS 4/1/36.

WD 0327—GPB—10,000—18-5-27

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Government Proceedings No.52-12528 dated the 25th January 1902 sanctioning a Sarjapur Jahgir pension of Rs.3-2-5 to Millamon Sahib.

2. Petition dated 9th December 1933 from Pandit Hafiz Mahomad Khan Sa praying for the continuance in his name of the above pension of his father.

3. Letter No.F.2359/33 dated the 18th January 1935 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, reporting on the above petition.

Order No. 577-8 SA 18.33.6

Dated Bangalore 10th January 1936.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore reports that Sulaiman Khan, the last barawardar who was in receipt of a Sarjapur Jahgir pension of Rs.3-2-5 died on 26-7-1932, leaving behind him a son Hafiz Manomad Khar (the petitioner) and a married daughter Amir Bi, as heirs by his first wife. His second wife is reported to have died issueless. Amir Khan aged 18 years is the only son by his 3rd wife. His 4th wife Amina Bi is reported to be living in Mullur, a village in Nanjangud Taluk, with her minor son and 2 minor daughters.

The petitioner prays that the pension be registered in his name whereas Amina Bi, the 4th wife of the deceased pensioner, claims that th entire pension be continued to her; Amir Khan, third wife's son is stated to be agreeable to his father's pension being continued in his elder brother's (Hafiz Mahomad Khan) name.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, recommends that the pension be continued in the name of Hafiz Mahomad Khan, as he is the eldest son of the deceased. Government are accordingly pleased to direct that the vacant pension viz., Rs.3-2-5 be registered and continued with effect from 27th July 1932 ~~registered and continued~~ in the name of Hafiz Mahomad Khan on condition that he pays 1/3 of the pension to Amina Bi

P.T.O.

(4th wife of the deceased pensioner), 1/3 of it to his step brother Amir Khan (son by the 3rd wife) while the remaining 1/3 will be for himself.

For Financial Secretary to Governmen

To

The Comptroller to Government.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, with the entire file of records.

Appendix F G—1

4 Copies

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue..... 17/1

Signed by ..... M. Rao

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

II

Section S+A.

File No. 118-33

Serial No. 7.

No. 5679-81

To 1) Pandit Hafiz Mahomad Khan Sab
No. 2109, Turabary Road,
Mandy Mohalla, Mysore

2). Amir Khan.
C/o. Pandit Hafiz Mahomad Khan Sab

3). Amina Bi,
living in Mullur village
Nanjangud
Taluk.

1. Pandit Hafiz Mahomad Khan Sab
2. Amir Khan ——— is
3. Amina Bi

informed that orders have been passed (G.O.No. ~~H~~ 5377-8/S+A.118-33-6 dated 19.1.34) directing that the Sarjapur Jahgir pension of Rs 3-2-5 hitherto drawn by Sulaiman Khan and rendered vacant by his death on 26th July 1932 be registered in the name of Pandit Hafiz Mahomad Khan Sab, (eldest son of the deceased pensioner), subject to the condition that he pays $\frac{1}{3}$ of it to Amir Khan, $\frac{1}{3}$ ~~of the pension~~ to Amina Bi for herself and her minor Children

WD 03601—GPB—30,000—23-9-35

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. Fl. 5677-81 S.A.118-33-7

Dated, Bangalore, 10th January 1936.

17

ENDORSEMENT.

Granted to 1) Pandit Hafiz Mahomad Khan,
2) Amina Bi, Mullur village, Nanjangud Tq.
3) Amir Khan, C/o. Pandit Hafiz Mahomad Khan No.2109, Turabary Road, Mandy Mohalla Mysore

is informed that orders have been passed (Government Order No.Fl.5677-81/R&A.118-33-6 dated 17.1.36 directing that the Surjapur Jahgir pension of Rs.3-2-5 hitherto drawn by Sulaman Khan and rendered vacant by his death on 26th July 1932 be registered in the name of Pandit Hafiz Mahomed Khan Sab, (eldest son of the deceased pensioner), subject to the condition that he pa 1/3 of it to Amir Khan, 1/3 to Amina Bi for herself and her minor children while the remaining 1/3 will be for himself.

By Order,

M Shamanna

For Financial Secretary to Government.

BRS

T33.